arXiv:0908.4588v1 [math.NT] 31 Aug 2009

FRAMES AND FINITE GROUP SCHEMES OVER COMPLETE REGULAR LOCAL RINGS

EIKE LAU

ABSTRACT. Let p be an odd prime. We show that the classification of p-divisible groups by Breuil windows and the classification of finite flat group schemes of p-power order by Breuil modules hold over any complete regular local ring with perfect residue field of characteristic p. We use a formalism of frames and windows with an abstract deformation theory that applies to Breuil windows.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a complete regular local ring with perfect residue field k of odd characteristic p. One can write $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$ with

$$\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_r]]$$

such that $E \in \mathfrak{S}$ is a power series with constant term p. Let σ be the continuous endomorphism of $\mathfrak{S}$ that extends the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$ by $\sigma(x_i) = x_i^p$. Following Vasiu and Zink, a *Breuil window* relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is a pair (Q, ϕ) where Q is a free $\mathfrak{S}$-module of finite rank, and where

$$\phi : Q \to Q^{(\sigma)}$$

is an $\mathfrak{S}$-linear homomorphism with cokernel annihilated by E.

Theorem 1.1. *The category of p-divisible groups over R is equivalent to the category of Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.*

If R has characteristic p this follows from more general results of A. de Jong [dJ]; this case is included here only for completeness. If $r = 1$ and E is an Eisenstein polynomial, Theorem 1.1 was conjectured by Breuil [Br] and proved by Kisin [K]. When E is the deformation of an Eisenstein polynomial the result is proved in [VZ1].

Like in these cases one can derive a classification of finite group schemes: A *Breuil module* relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is a triple (M, φ, ψ) where M is a finitely generated $\mathfrak{S}$-module annihilated by a power of p and of projective dimension at most one, and where

$$\varphi : M \to M^{(\sigma)}, \qquad \psi : M^{(\sigma)} \to M$$

Date: August 31, 2009.

are homomorphisms of $\mathfrak{S}$-modules with $\varphi\psi = E$ and $\varphi\psi = E$. If R has characteristic zero such triples are equivalent to pairs (M, φ) such that the cokernel of φ is annihilated by E.

Theorem 1.2. *The category of finite flat group schemes over R annihilated by a power of p is equivalent to the category of Breuil modules relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.*

This result is applied in [VZ2] to the question whether abelian schemes or p-divisible groups defined over $\operatorname{Spec} R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}_R\}$ extend to $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Frames and windows. To prove Theorem 1.1 we show that Breuil windows are equivalent to Dieudonné displays over R, which are equivalent to p-divisible groups by [Z2]; the same route is followed in [VZ1]. So the main part of this article is purely module theoretic:

We introduce a notion of frames and windows (motivated by [Z3]) which allows to formulate a deformation theory that generalises the deformation theory of Dieudonné displays and that also applies to Breuil windows. Technically the main point is the formalism of σ_1; the central result is the lifting of windows in Theorem 3.2.

This is applied as follows. For each positive integer a we consider the rings $\mathfrak{S}_a = \mathfrak{S}/(x_1, \dots, x_r)^a\mathfrak{S}$ and $R_a = R/\mathfrak{m}_R^a$. There is an obvious notion of Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S}_a \to R_a$ and a functor

$$\varkappa_a : (\text{Breuil windows rel. } \mathfrak{S}_a \to R_a) \to (\text{Dieudonné displays}/R_a).$$

The deformation theory implies that on both sides lifts from a to $a+1$ are classified by lifts of the Hodge filtration in a compatible way. Thus $\varkappa_a$ is an equivalence for all a by induction, and Theorem 1.1 follows.

Complements. There is some freedom in the choice of the Frobenius lift on $\mathfrak{S}$. Namely, let σ be a ring endomorphism of $\mathfrak{S}$ which preserves the ideal $J = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ and which induces the Frobenius on $\mathfrak{S}/p\mathfrak{S}$. If the endomorphism σ/p of J/J^2 is nilpotent modulo p, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold without change.

All of the above equivalences of categories are compatible with the natural duality operations on both sides.

If the residue field k is not assumed perfect there is an analogue of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for connected groups. Here $p = 2$ is allowed. The ring $W(k)$ is replaced by a p-ring of k, and the operators ϕ and φ must be nilpotent modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{S}$.

In the first version of this article [L2] the formalism of frames was introduced only to give an alternative proof of the results of Vasiu and Zink [VZ1]. In response, they pointed out that both their and this approach apply in more generality, e.g. in the case where $E \in \mathfrak{S}$ takes the form $E = g + p\epsilon$ such that ϵ is a unit and g divides $\sigma(g)$. However, the method of loc. cit. seems not to give Theorem 1.1 completely.

All rings in this text are commutative and have a unit.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks A. Vasiu and Th. Zink for valuable discussions, and in particular Th. Zink for sharing his notion of $\varkappa$-frames and for suggesting to include sections 10 and 11.

2. Frames and windows

Let p be a prime. The following notion of frames and windows differs from [Z3]. Some definitions and arguments could be simplified by assuming that the relevant rings are local, which is the case in our applications, but we work in more generality until section 4.

If $\sigma : S \to S$ is a ring endomorphism, for an S-module M we write $M^{(\sigma)} = S \otimes_{\sigma,S} M$, and for a σ-linear homomorphism $g : M \to N$ we denote by $g^\sharp : M^{(\sigma)} \to N$ its linearisation, $g^\sharp(s \otimes m) = sg(m)$.

Definition 2.1. A frame is a quintuple

$$\mathscr{F} = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

consisting of a ring S, an ideal I of S, the quotient ring $R = S/I$, a ring endomorphism $\sigma : S \to S$, and a σ-linear homomorphism of S-modules $\sigma_1 : I \to S$, such that the following conditions hold.

(i) $I + pS \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}(S)$,
(ii) $\sigma(a) \equiv a^p \bmod pS$ for $a \in S$,
(iii) $\sigma_1(I)$ generates S as an S-module.

Remark. With some modifications the theory also works without assuming (iii); see section 11. In our examples $\sigma_1(I)$ contains 1.

Lemma 2.2. *For every frame $\mathscr{F}$ there is a unique element $\theta \in S$ such that $\sigma(a) = \theta\sigma_1(a)$ for $a \in I$.*

Proof. Condition (iii) means that $\sigma_1^\sharp : I^{(\sigma)} \to S$ is surjective. If $b \in I^{(\sigma)}$ satisfies $\sigma_1^\sharp(b) = 1$, then necessarily $\theta = \sigma^\sharp(b)$. For $a \in I$ we compute $\sigma(a) = \sigma_1^\sharp(b)\sigma(a) = \sigma_1^\sharp(ba) = \sigma^\sharp(b)\sigma_1(a)$ as desired. □

Definition 2.3. A window over a frame $\mathscr{F}$ is a quadruple

$$\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$$

where P is a finitely generated projective S-module, $Q \subseteq P$ is a submodule, $F : P \to P$ and $F_1 : Q \to P$ are σ-linear homomorphisms of S-modules, such that the following conditions hold.

(1) There is a decomposition $P = L \oplus T$ with $Q = L \oplus IT$,
(2) $F_1(ax) = \sigma_1(a)F(x)$ for $a \in I$ and $x \in P$,
(3) $F_1(Q)$ generates P as an S-module.

A decomposition as in (1) is called a normal decomposition.

Remark. The operator F is determined by F_1. Indeed, if $b \in I^{(\sigma)}$ satisfies $\sigma_1^\sharp(b) = 1$, then condition (2) implies that $F(x) = F_1^\sharp(bx)$ for $x \in P$. In particular we have $F(x) = \theta F_1(x)$ when x lies in Q.

Remark 2.4. Condition (1) implies that

(1') P/Q is a projective R-module.

If finitely generated projective R-modules lift to projective S-modules, necessarily finitely generated because $I \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}(S)$, then (1) is equivalent to (1'). In all our examples, this lifting property holds because S is local or I-adically complete.

We recall that a σ-linear isomorphism is a σ-linear homomorphism with bijective linearisation.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a frame, let $P = L \oplus T$ be a finitely generated projective S-module, and let $Q = L \oplus IT$. The set of $\mathscr{F}$-window structures (P, Q, F, F_1) on these modules is mapped bijectively to the set of σ-linear isomorphisms*

$$\Psi : L \oplus T \to P$$

by the assignment $\Psi(l + t) = F_1(l) + F(t)$ for $l \in L$ and $t \in T$.

The triple (L, T, Ψ) is called a normal representation of (P, Q, F, F_1).

Proof. If (P, Q, F, F_1) is an $\mathscr{F}$-window, by (2) and (3) the linearisation of the associated homomorphism Ψ is surjective, thus bijective as P and $P^{(\sigma)}$ are projective S-modules of equal rank by (i) and (ii). Conversely, if Ψ is given, one gets an $\mathscr{F}$-window by $F(l + t) = \theta\Psi(l) + \Psi(t)$ and $F_1(l + at) = \Psi(l) + f_1(a)\Psi(t)$ for $l \in L$, $t \in T$, and $a \in I$. □

Example. The Witt frame of a p-adically complete ring R is

$$\mathscr{W}_R = (W(R), I_R, R, f, f_1)$$

where f is the Frobenius endomorphism and where $f_1 : I_R \to W(R)$ is the inverse of the Verschiebung homomorphism. Here $\theta = p$. We have $I_R \subset \mathrm{Rad}(W(R))$ because $W(R)$ is I_R-adically complete; see [Z1, Proposition 3]. Windows over $\mathscr{W}_R$ are 3n-displays over R in the sense of [Z1], called displays in [M2], which is the terminology we follow.

Functoriality. Let $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}'$ be frames.

Definition 2.6. A homomorphism of frames $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ is a ring homomorphism $\alpha : S \to S'$ with $\alpha(I) \subseteq I'$ such that $\sigma'\alpha = \alpha\sigma$ and $\sigma'_1\alpha = u \cdot \alpha\sigma_1$ for a unit $u \in S'$. If $u = 1$ then α is called strict.

Remark 2.7. The unit u is unique because $\alpha\sigma_1(I)$ generates S' as an S'-module. If we want to specify u we say that α is a u-homomorphism. We have $\alpha(\theta) = u\theta'$. There is a unique factorisation $\alpha = \omega\alpha'$ such that $\alpha' : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}''$ is strict and $\omega : \mathscr{F}'' \to \mathscr{F}'$ is invertible.

Let $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ be a u-homomorphism of frames.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{P}'$ be windows over $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}'$, respectively. An α-homomorphism of windows $g : \mathscr{P} \to \mathscr{P}'$ is a homomorphism of S-modules $g : P \to P'$ with $g(Q) \subseteq Q'$ such that $F'g = gF$

and $F_1'g = u \cdot gF_1$. A homomorphism of windows over $\mathscr{F}$ is an $\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{P}}$-homomorphism in the previous sense.

Lemma 2.9. *For each window $\mathscr{P}$ over $\mathscr{F}$ there is a base change window $\alpha_*\mathscr{P}$ over $\mathscr{F}'$ together with an α-homomorphism $\mathscr{P} \to \alpha_*\mathscr{P}$ that induces a bijection $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{F}'}(\alpha_*\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}') = \mathrm{Hom}_{\alpha}(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}')$ for all windows $\mathscr{P}'$ over $\mathscr{F}'$.*

Proof. Clearly this requirement determines $\alpha_*\mathscr{P}$ uniquely. It can be constructed explicitly as follows: If (L, T, Ψ) is a normal representation of $\mathscr{P}$, then a normal representation of $\alpha_*\mathscr{P}$ is $(S' \otimes_S L, S' \otimes_S T, \Psi')$ with $\Psi'(s' \otimes l) = u\sigma'(s') \otimes \Psi(l)$ and $\Psi'(s' \otimes t) = \sigma'(s') \otimes \Psi(t)$. □

Remark. If $\alpha_*\mathscr{P} = (P', Q', F', F_1')$, then $P' = S' \otimes_S P$, and Q' is the image of $S' \otimes_S Q \to P'$, which may differ from $S' \otimes_S Q$.

Limits. Windows are compatible with projective limits of frames in the following sense. Assume that for each positive integer n we have a frame $\mathscr{F}_n = (S_n, I_n, R_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_{1n})$ and a strict homomorphism of frames $\pi_n : \mathscr{F}_{n+1} \to \mathscr{F}_n$ such that the maps $S_{n+1} \to S_n$ and $I_{n+1} \to I_n$ are surjective and $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_n)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Rad}(S_{n+1})$. We obtain a frame $\varprojlim \mathscr{F}_n = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$ with $S = \varprojlim S_n$ etc. By definition, a window over $\mathscr{F}_*$ is a system $\mathscr{P}_*$ of windows $\mathscr{P}_n$ over $\mathscr{F}_n$ together with isomorphisms $\pi_{n*}\mathscr{F}_{n+1} \cong \mathscr{F}_n$.

Lemma 2.10. *The category of windows over $\varprojlim \mathscr{F}_n$ is equivalent to the category of windows over $\mathscr{F}_*$.*

Proof. The obvious functor from windows over $\varprojlim \mathscr{F}_n$ to windows over $\mathscr{F}_*$ is fully faithful. We must show that for a window $\mathscr{P}_*$ over $\mathscr{F}_*$, the projective limit $\varprojlim \mathscr{P}_n = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ defined by $P = \varprojlim P_n$ etc. is a window over $\varprojlim \mathscr{F}_n$. The condition $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_n) \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}(S_{n+1})$ implies that P is a finitely generated projective S-module and that P/Q is projective over R. In order that P has a normal decomposition it suffices to show that any normal decomposition of $\mathscr{P}_n$ lifts to a normal decomposition of $\mathscr{P}_{n+1}$. Assume that $P_n = L_n' \oplus T_n'$ and $P_{n+1} = L_{n+1} \oplus T_{n+1}$ are normal decompositions and let $P_n = L_n \oplus T_n$ be induced by the second. Since $T_n \otimes R_n \cong P_n/Q_n \cong T_n' \otimes R_n$ and $L_n \otimes R_n \cong Q_n/IP_n \cong L_n' \otimes R_n$ we have $T_n \cong T_n'$ and $L_n \cong L_n'$. Hence the two decompositions of P_n differ by an automorphism of $L_n \oplus T_n$ of the type $u = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $c : L_n \to I_nT_n$. Now u lifts to an endomorphism $u' = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of $L_{n+1} \oplus T_{n+1}$ with $c' : L_{n+1} \to I_{n+1}T_{n+1}$, and u' is an automorphism as $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_n) \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}(S_{n+1})$. The required lifting of normal decompositions follows. All remaining window axioms for $\varprojlim \mathscr{P}_n$ are easily checked. □

Remark 2.11. Assume that S_1 is a local ring. Then all S_n and S are local too. Hence $\varprojlim \mathscr{F}_n$ satisfies the lifting property of Remark 2.4, so the normal decomposition of P in the preceding proof is automatic.

Duality. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a window over a frame $\mathscr{F}$. The dual window $\mathscr{P}^t = (P', Q', F', F_1')$ is defined as follows. We have $P' = \mathrm{Hom}_S(P, S)$ and $Q' = \{x' \in P' \mid x'(Q) \subseteq I\}$. The operator $F_1' : Q' \to P'$ is defined by the relation

$$F_1'(x')(F_1(x)) = \sigma_1(x'(x))$$

for $x' \in Q'$ and $x \in Q$. This determines F_1' and F' uniquely. If (L, T, Ψ) is a normal representation for $\mathscr{P}$, then a normal representation for $\mathscr{P}^t$ is given by $(T^\vee, L^\vee, \Psi')$ where $(\Psi')^\sharp$ is equal to $((\Psi^\sharp)^{-1})^\vee$. This shows that F_1' and F' are well-defined. For a more detailed exposition of the duality formalism in the case of (Diedonné) displays we refer to [Z1, Definition 19] or [L2, section 3]. There is a natural isomorphism $\mathscr{P}^{tt} \cong \mathscr{P}$. For a homomorphism of frames $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ we have a natural isomorphism $(\alpha_* \mathscr{P})^t \cong \alpha_*(\mathscr{P}^t)$.

3. Crystalline homomorphisms

Definition 3.1. A homomorphism of frames $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ is called crystalline if the functor $\alpha_* : (\text{windows over } \mathscr{F}) \to (\text{windows over } \mathscr{F}')$ is an equivalence of categories.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ be a strict homomorphism of frames that induces an isomorphism $R \cong R'$ and a surjection $S \to S'$ with kernel $\mathfrak{a} \subset S$. We assume that there is a finite filtration $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_0 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_n = 0$ with $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{i+1}$ and $\sigma_1(\mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$ such that σ_1 is elementwise nilpotent on $\mathfrak{a}_i/\mathfrak{a}_{i+1}$. We assume that finitely generated projective S'-modules lift to projective S-modules. Then α is crystalline.*

In many applications the lifting property of projective modules holds because $\mathfrak{a}$ is nilpotent or S is local. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is a variation of the proofs of [Z1, Theorem 44] and [Z2, Theorem 3].

Proof. The homomorphism α factors into $\mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'' \to \mathscr{F}'$ where the frame $\mathscr{F}''$ is determined by $S'' = S/\mathfrak{a}_1$, so by induction we may assume that $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. The functor α_* is essentially surjective because normal representations (L, T, Ψ) can be lifted from $\mathscr{F}'$ to $\mathscr{F}$. In order that α_* is fully faithful it suffices that α_* is fully faithful on automorphisms because a homomorphism $g : \mathscr{P} \to \mathscr{P}'$ can be encoded by the automorphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ g & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of $\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{P}'$. Since for a window $\mathscr{P}$ over $\mathscr{F}$ an automorphism of $\alpha_* \mathscr{P}$ can be lifted to an S-module automorphism of P it suffices to prove the following assertion.

Assume that $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ and $\mathscr{P}' = (P, Q, F', F_1')$ are two windows over $\mathscr{F}$ such that $F \equiv F'$ and $F_1 \equiv F_1'$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Then there is a unique isomorphism $g : \mathscr{P} \cong \mathscr{P}'$ with $g \equiv \mathrm{id}$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}$.

We write $F_1' = F_1 + \eta$ and $F' = F + \varepsilon$ and $g = 1 + \omega$, where the σ-linear homomorphisms $\eta : Q \to \mathfrak{a}P$ and $\varepsilon : P \to \mathfrak{a}P$ are given, and where $\omega : P \to \mathfrak{a}P$ is an arbitrary homomorphism of S-modules. The

induced g is an isomorphism of windows if and only if $gF_1 = F_1'g$ on Q, which translates into

$$\eta = \omega F_1 - F_1'\omega. \tag{3.1}$$

We fix a normal decomposition $P = L \oplus T$, thus $Q = L \oplus IT$. For $l \in L$, $t \in T$, and $a \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(l + at) &= \eta(l) + \sigma_1(a)\varepsilon(t),\\ \omega(F_1(l + at)) &= \omega(F_1(l)) + \sigma_1(a)\omega(F(t)),\\ F_1'(\omega(l + at)) &= F_1'(\omega(l)) + \sigma_1(a)F'(\omega(t)).\end{aligned}$$

Here $F'\omega = 0$ because for $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $x \in P$ we have $F'(ax) = \sigma(a)F'(x)$, and $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. As $\sigma_1(I)$ generates S we see that (3.1) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon = \omega F & \text{on } T,\\ \eta = \omega F_1 - F_1'\omega & \text{on } L.\end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

As $\Psi : L \oplus T \xrightarrow{F_1+F} P$ is a σ-linear isomorphism, the datum of ω is equivalent to the pair of σ-linear homomorphisms

$$\omega_L = \omega F_1 : L \to \mathfrak{a}P, \qquad \omega_T = \omega F : T \to \mathfrak{a}P.$$

Let $\lambda : L \to L^{(\sigma)}$ be the composition $L \subseteq P \xrightarrow{(\Psi^\sharp)^{-1}} L^{(\sigma)} \oplus T^{(\sigma)} \xrightarrow{pr_1} L^{(\sigma)}$ and let $\tau : L \to T^{(\sigma)}$ be analogous with pr_2 in place of pr_1. Then the restriction $\omega|_L$ is equal to $\omega_L^\sharp\lambda + \omega_T^\sharp\tau$, and (3.2) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_T = \varepsilon|_T,\\ \omega_L - F_1'\omega_L^\sharp\lambda = \eta|_L + F_1'\omega_T^\sharp\tau.\end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the abelian group of σ-linear homomorphisms $L \to \mathfrak{a}P$. We claim that the endomorphism U of $\mathscr{H}$ given by $U(\omega_L) = F_1'\omega_L^\sharp\lambda$ is elementwise nilpotent, which implies that $1 - U$ is bijective, and (3.3) has a unique solution. The endomorphism F_1' of $\mathfrak{a}P$ is elementwise nilpotent because $F_1'(ax) = \sigma_1(a)F'(x)$ and because σ_1 is elementwise nilpotent on $\mathfrak{a}$ by assumption. Since L is finitely generated it follows that U is elementwise nilpotent as desired. □

Remark 3.3. The same argument applies if instead of σ_1 being elementwise nilpotent one demands that λ is (topologically) nilpotent, which is the original situation in [Z1, Theorem 44]; see section 10.

4. Abstract deformation theory

Definition 4.1. The Hodge filtration of a window $\mathscr{P}$ is the submodule

$$Q/IP \subseteq P/IP.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ be a strict homomorphism of frames with $S = S'$. Then $R \to R'$ is surjective and we have $I \subseteq I'$. Windows $\mathscr{P}$ over $\mathscr{F}$ are equivalent to pairs consisting of a window $\mathscr{P}'$ over $\mathscr{F}'$ and a lift of its Hodge filtration to a direct summand $V \subseteq P'/IP'$.*

Proof. The equivalence is given by the functor $\mathscr{P} \mapsto (\alpha_*\mathscr{P}, Q/IP)$, which is easily seen to be fully faithful. We show that it is essentially surjective. Let a window $\mathscr{P}'$ over $\mathscr{F}'$ and a lift if its Hodge filtration $V \subseteq P'/IP'$ be given and let $Q \subset P'$ be the inverse image of V. We have to show that $\mathscr{P} = (P', Q, F', F_1'|_Q)$ is a window over $\mathscr{F}$. First we need a normal decomposition for $\mathscr{P}$; this is a decomposition $P' = L \oplus T$ such that $V = L/IL$. Since $\mathscr{P}'$ has a normal decomposition, $\mathscr{P}$ has one too for at least one choice of V. By modifying the isomorphism $P' \cong L \oplus T$ with an automorphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of $L \oplus T$ for some homomorphism $u : L \to I'T$ one reaches every lift of the Hodge filtration. It remains to show that $F_1'(Q)$ generates P'. In terms of a normal decomposition $P' = L \oplus T$ for $\mathscr{P}$ this means that $F_1' + F' : L \oplus T \to P'$ is a σ-linear isomorphism, which holds because $\mathscr{P}'$ is a window. □

Assume that a strict homomorphism of frames $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ is given such that $S \to S'$ is surjective with kernel $\mathfrak{a}$, and $I' = IS'$. We want to factor α into strict homomorphisms

$$(S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} (S, I'', R', \sigma, \sigma_1'') \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} (S', I', R', \sigma', \sigma_1') \tag{4.1}$$

such that α_2 satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2.

Necessarily $I'' = I + \mathfrak{a}$. The main point is to define $\sigma_1'' : I'' \to S$, which is equivalent to defining a σ-linear homomorphism $\sigma_1'' : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}$ that extends the restriction of σ_1 to $I \cap \mathfrak{a}$ and satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2.

If this is achieved, Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 4.2 show that windows over $\mathscr{F}$ are equivalent to windows $\mathscr{P}'$ over $\mathscr{F}'$ plus a lift of the Hodge filtration to a direct summand of P/IP, where $\mathscr{P}'' = (P, Q'', F, F_1'')$ is the unique lift of $\mathscr{P}'$ under α_2.

5. Dieudonné frames

Let R be a noetherian complete local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and with perfect residue field k of characteristic p. If $p = 2$ we assume that $pR = 0$. There is a unique subring $\mathbb{W}(R) \subset W(R)$ stable under its Frobenius f such that the projection $\mathbb{W}(R) \to W(k)$ is surjective with kernel $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{m})$, the ideal of all Witt vectors in $W(\mathfrak{m})$ whose coefficients converge to zero $\mathfrak{m}$-adically, and $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is also stable under the Verschiebung v; see [Z2, Lemma 2]. Let $\mathbb{I}_R$ be the kernel of the projection to the first component $\mathbb{W}(R) \to R$. Then $v : \mathbb{W}(R) \to \mathbb{I}_R$ is bijective.

Definition 5.1. The Dieudonné frame associated to R is

$$\mathscr{D}_R = (\mathbb{W}(R), \mathbb{I}_R, R, f, f_1)$$

with $f_1 = v^{-1}$.

Here $\theta = p$. Windows over $\mathscr{D}_R$ are Dieudonné displays over R in the sense of [Z2]. We note that $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is a local ring, which guarantees the existence of normal decompositions; see Remark 2.4. The inclusion

$\mathbb{W}(R) \to W(R)$ is a homomorphism of frames $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{W}_R$. A local ring homomorphism $R \to R'$ induces a frame homomorphism $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{D}_{R'}$.

Assume that $R' = R/\mathfrak{b}$ for an ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ equipped with elementwise nilpotent divided powers. Then $\mathbb{W}(R) \to \mathbb{W}(R')$ is surjective with kernel $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{b}) = W(\mathfrak{b}) \cap \hat{W}(\mathfrak{m})$. In this situation, a factorisation (4.1) of the homomorphism $\mathscr{D}_R \to \mathscr{D}_{R'}$ can be defined as follows.

Let $\mathfrak{b}^{<\infty>}$ be the $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module of all sequences $[b_0, b_1, \ldots]$ with elements $b_i \in \mathfrak{b}$ that converge to zero $\mathfrak{m}$-adically, on which $x \in \mathbb{W}(R)$ acts by $[b_0, b_1, \ldots] \mapsto [w_0(x)b_0, w_1(x)b_1, \ldots]$. The divided Witt polynomials define an isomorphism of $\mathbb{W}(R)$-modules

$$\log : \hat{W}(\mathfrak{b}) \cong \mathfrak{b}^{<\infty>}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{I}} = \mathbb{I}_R + \hat{W}(\mathfrak{b})$. In logarithmic coordinates, the restriction of f_1 to $\mathbb{I}_R \cap \hat{W}(\mathfrak{b})$ is given by

$$f_1[0, b_1, b_2, \ldots] = [b_1, b_2, \ldots].$$

Thus $f_1 : \mathbb{I}_R \to \mathbb{W}(R)$ extends uniquely to an f-linear homomorphism

$$\tilde{f}_1 : \tilde{\mathbb{I}} \to \mathbb{W}(R)$$

with $\tilde{f}_1[b_0, b_1, \ldots] = [b_1, b_2, \ldots]$ on $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{b})$, and we obtain a factorisation

$$\mathscr{D}_R \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \mathscr{D}_{R/R'} = (\mathbb{W}(R), \tilde{\mathbb{I}}, R', f, \tilde{f}_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \mathscr{D}_{R'}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proposition 5.2. *The homomorphism α_2 is crystalline.*

This is a reformulation of [Z2, Theorem 3] if $\mathfrak{m}$ is nilpotent, and the general case is an easy consequence. As explained in section 4, it follows that deformations of Dieudonné displays from R' to R are classified by lifts of the Hodge filtration; this is [Z2, Theorem 4].

Proof of Proposition 5.2. When $\mathfrak{m}$ is nilpotent, α_2 satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2; the required filtration of $\mathfrak{a} = \hat{W}(\mathfrak{b})$ is $\mathfrak{a}_i = p^i\mathfrak{a}$. In general, these hypotheses are not fulfilled because $f_1 : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}$ is only topologically nilpotent. However, one can find a sequence of ideals $R \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \ldots$ which define the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic topology such that each $\mathfrak{b} \cap I_n$ is is stable under the divided powers of $\mathfrak{b}$. Indeed, for each n there is an l with $\mathfrak{m}^l \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n\mathfrak{b}$; for $I_n = \mathfrak{m}^n\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{m}^l$ we have $\mathfrak{b} \cap I_n = \mathfrak{m}^n\mathfrak{b}$. The proposition holds for each R/I_n in place of R, and the general case follows by passing to the projective limit, using Lemma 2.10. □

6. $\varkappa$-FRAMES

The results in this section are essentially due to Th. Zink.

Definition 6.1. A $\varkappa$-frame is a frame $\mathscr{F} = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$ such that

(iv) S has no p-torsion,
(v) $W(R)$ has no p-torsion,
(vi) $\sigma(\theta) - \theta^p = p \cdot$ unit in S.

Remarks 6.2. If (ii) and (iv) hold then we have a (non-additive) map

$$\tau : S \to S, \qquad \tau(x) = \frac{\sigma(x) - x^p}{p},$$

and (vi) says that $\tau(\theta)$ is a unit. Condition (v) is satisfied if and only if the nilradical $\mathscr{N}(R)$ has no p-torsion, for example if R is reduced, or flat over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Proposition 6.3. *To each $\varkappa$-frame $\mathscr{F}$ one can associate a unit u of $W(R)$ and a u-homomorphism of frames $\varkappa : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{W}_R$ lying over id_R. The construction is functorial in $\mathscr{F}$.*

Proof. Condition (iv) implies that there is a well-defined homomorphism $\delta : S \to W(S)$ with $w_n\delta = \sigma^n$; see [Bou, IX.1, proposition 2]. We have $f\delta = \delta\sigma$. Let $\varkappa$ be the composite ring homomorphism

$$\varkappa : S \xrightarrow{\delta} W(S) \to W(R).$$

Then $f\varkappa = \varkappa\sigma$ and $\varkappa(I) \subseteq I_R$. Clearly $\varkappa$ is functorial in $\mathscr{F}$.

To define u we write $1 = \sum y_i\sigma_1(x_i)$ in S with $x_i \in I$ and $y_i \in S$. This is possible by (iii). We recall that $\theta = \sum y_i\sigma(x_i)$; see the proof of Lemma 2.2. Let $u = \sum \varkappa(y_i)f_1\varkappa(x_i)$. Then $pu = \varkappa(\theta)$ because $pf_1 = f$. We claim that $f_1\varkappa = u \cdot \varkappa\sigma_1$. By (v) this is equivalent to $p \cdot f_1\varkappa = pu \cdot \varkappa\sigma_1$, which is easily checked as $pf_1 = f$ and $\theta\sigma_1 = \sigma$.

It remains to show that u is a unit in $W(R)$. Let $pu = \varkappa(\theta) = (a_0, a_1, \ldots)$ as a Witt vector. By Lemma 6.4 below, u is a unit if and only if a_1 is a unit in R. But $\delta(\theta) = (\theta, \tau(\theta), \ldots)$ because w_* applied to both sides gives $(\theta, \sigma(\theta), \ldots)$; here '$\ldots$' means 'not specified'. Hence a_1 is a unit by (vi).

Finally, u is functorial in $\mathscr{F}$ by its uniqueness, see Remark 2.7. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let R be a ring with $p \in \mathrm{Rad}(R)$ and let $u \in W(R)$. For an integer $r \geq 0$ let $p^r u = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$. Then u is a unit in $W(R)$ if and only if a_r is a unit in R.*

Proof. Let $r = 0$. It suffices to show that an element $\bar{u} \in W_{n+1}(R)$ that maps to 1 in $W_n(R)$ is a unit. If $\bar{u} = 1 + v^n(x)$ with $x \in R$ then $\bar{u}^{-1} = 1 + v^n(y)$ where $y \in R$ is determined by $x + y + pxy = 0$, which has a solution as $p \in \mathrm{Rad}(R)$. For general r, by the case $r = 0$ we may replace R by R/pR. Then we have $p(b_0, b_1, \ldots) = (0, b_0^p, b_1^p, \ldots)$ in $W(R)$, which reduces the assertion to the case $r = 0$. □

Corollary 6.5. *Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a $\varkappa$-frame with $S = W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_r]]$ for a perfect field k of odd characteristic p. Assume that σ extends the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$ by $\sigma(x_i) = x_i^p$. Then u is a unit in $\mathbb{W}(R)$ and $\varkappa$ induces a u-homomorphism of frames $\varkappa : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{D}_R$.*

Proof. We claim that $\delta(S)$ lies in $\mathbb{W}(S)$. Indeed, $\delta(x_i) = [x_i]$ because w_n applied to both sides gives $x_i^{p^n}$. Thus $\delta(x^e) = [x^e] \in \mathbb{W}(S)$ for any multi-exponent $e = (e_1, \ldots e_r)$. Since $\mathbb{W}(S) = \varprojlim \mathbb{W}(S/\mathfrak{m}^n)$ and since

for each n all but finitely many x^e lie in $\mathfrak{m}^n$ the claim follows. Hence the image of $\varkappa : S \to W(R)$ is contained in $\mathbb{W}(R)$. By construction the element u lies in $\mathbb{W}(R)$; it is invertible in $\mathbb{W}(R)$ because the inclusion $\mathbb{W}(R) \to W(R)$ is a local homomorphism of local rings. □

7. The main frame

Let R be a complete regular local ring with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p \geq 3$. We choose a continuous ring homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_r]] \xrightarrow{\pi} R$$

such that $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ map to a regular system of parameters of R. As the graded ring of R is isomorphic to $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$, one can find a power series $E_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with constant term zero such that $\pi(E_0) = -p$. Let $E = E_0 + p$ and $I = E\mathfrak{S}$. Then $R = \mathfrak{S}/I$. Let $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be the continuous ring endomorphism that extends the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$ by $\sigma(x_i) = x_i^p$. We have a frame

$$\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{S}, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

where $\sigma_1(Ey) = \sigma(y)$ for $y \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Lemma 7.1. *The frame $\mathscr{B}$ is a $\varkappa$-frame.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{S}$ be the element given by Lemma 2.2. The only condition to be checked is that $\tau(\theta)$ is a unit in $\mathfrak{S}$. Let $E_0' = \sigma(E_0)$. As $\sigma_1(E) = 1$ we have $\theta = \sigma(E) = E_0' + p$. Hence

$$\tau(\theta) = \frac{\sigma(E_0') + p - (E_0' + p)^p}{p} \equiv 1 + \tau(E_0') \mod p.$$

Since the constant term of E_0 is zero, the same is true for $\tau(E_0')$, which implies that $\tau(\theta)$ is a unit as required. □

By Proposition 6.3 and Corollary 6.5 we get a ring homomorphism $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathbb{W}(R)$, which is a u-homomorphism of frames

$$\varkappa : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{D}_R.$$

Here the unit $u \in \mathbb{W}(R)$ is determined by $pu = \varkappa\sigma(E)$.

Theorem 7.2. *The homomorphism $\varkappa$ is crystalline (Definition 3.1).*

To prove this we consider the following auxiliary frames. Let $J \subset \mathfrak{S}$ be the ideal $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathfrak{S}_a = \mathfrak{S}/J^a\mathfrak{S}$ and let $R_a = R/\mathfrak{m}_R^a$. Then $R_a = \mathfrak{S}_a/E\mathfrak{S}_a$. The element E is not a zero divisor in $\mathfrak{S}_a$. There is a well-defined frame

$$\mathscr{B}_a = (\mathfrak{S}_a, I_a, R_a, \sigma_a, \sigma_{1a})$$

such that the projection $\mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}_a$ is a strict homomorphism $\mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{B}_a$. Indeed, σ induces an endomorphism σ_a of $\mathfrak{S}_a$ because $\sigma(J) \subseteq J$, and for $y \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ one can define $\sigma_{1a}(Ey) = \sigma_a(y)$.

For simplicity, the image of u in $\mathbb{W}(R_a)$ is denoted by u as well. The u-homomorphism $\varkappa$ induces a u-homomorphism

$$\varkappa_a : \mathscr{B}_a \to \mathscr{D}_{R_a}$$

because for $e \in \mathbb{N}^r$ we have $\varkappa(x^e) = [x^e]$, which maps to zero in $\mathbb{W}(R_a)$ when $e_1 + \ldots + e_r \geq a$. We note that $\mathscr{B}_a$ is again a $\varkappa$-frame, so the existence of $\varkappa_a$ can also be viewed as a consequence of Proposition 6.3.

Theorem 7.3. *For each $a \in \mathbb{N}$ the homomorphism $\varkappa_a$ is crystalline.*

This includes Theorem 7.2 if one allows $a = \infty$ and writes $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_\infty$ etc. To prepare for the proof, for each $a \in \mathbb{N}$ we want to construct the following commutative diagram of frames where vertical arrows are u-homomorphisms and where horizontal arrows are strict.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathscr{B}_{a+1} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathscr{B}_a \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varkappa_{a+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\varkappa}_{a+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varkappa_a} \\ \mathscr{D}_{R_{a+1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{D}_{R_{a+1}/R_a} & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \mathscr{D}_{R_a} \end{array} \tag{7.1}$$

The upper line is a factorisation (4.1) of the projection $\mathscr{B}_{a+1} \to \mathscr{B}_a$. This means that the frame $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1}$ necessarily takes the form

$$\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1} = (\mathfrak{S}_{a+1}, \tilde{I}_{a+1}, R_a, \sigma_{a+1}, \tilde{\sigma}_{1(a+1)})$$

with $\tilde{I}_{a+1} = E\mathfrak{S}_{a+1} + J^a/J^{a+1}$. We define $\tilde{\sigma}_{1(a+1)} : \tilde{I}_{a+1} \to \mathfrak{S}_{a+1}$ to be the extension of $\sigma_{1(a+1)} : E\mathfrak{S}_{a+1} \to \mathfrak{S}_{a+1}$ by zero on J^a/J^{a+1}. This is well-defined because

$$E\mathfrak{S}_{a+1} \cap J^a/J^{a+1} = E(J^a/J^{a+1})$$

and because for $x \in J^a/J^{a+1}$ we have $\sigma_{1(a+1)}(Ex) = \sigma_{a+1}(x)$, which is zero as $\sigma(J^a) \subseteq J^{ap}$.

The lower line of (7.1) is the factorisation (5.1) with respect to the trivial divided powers on the kernel $\mathfrak{m}_R^a/\mathfrak{m}_R^{a+1}$.

In order that the diagram commutes it is necessary and sufficient that $\tilde{\varkappa}_{a+1}$ is given by the ring homomorphism $\varkappa_{a+1}$.

It remains to show that $\tilde{\varkappa}_{a+1}$ is a u-homomorphism of frames. The only non-trivial condition is that $\tilde{f}_1\varkappa_{a+1} = u \cdot \varkappa_{a+1}\tilde{\sigma}_{1(a+1)}$ on $\tilde{I}_{a+1}$. This relation holds on $E\mathfrak{S}_{a+1}$ because $\varkappa_{a+1}$ is a u-homomorphism of frames. On J^a/J^{a+1} we have $\varkappa_{a+1}\tilde{\sigma}_{1(a+1)} = 0$ by definition. For $y \in \mathfrak{S}_{a+1}$ and for $e \in \mathbb{N}^r$ with $e_1 + \ldots + e_r = a$ we compute

$$\tilde{f}_1(\varkappa_{a+1}(x^e y)) = \tilde{f}_1([x^e]\varkappa_{a+1}(y)) = \tilde{f}_1([x^e])f(\varkappa_{a+1}(y)) = 0$$

because $\log([x^e]) = \langle x^e, 0, 0, \ldots \rangle$. As these x^e generate J^a, the required relation on J^a/J^{a+1} follows, and the diagram is constructed.

Proof of Theorem 7.3. We use induction on a. The homomorphism $\varkappa_1$ is crystalline because it is bijective. Assume that $\varkappa_a$ is crystalline for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the diagram (7.1). The homomorphism π' is crystalline by Proposition 5.2, while π is crystalline by Theorem 3.2; the required filtration of J^a/J^{a+1} is trivial. Hence $\tilde{\varkappa}_{a+1}$ is crystalline. By Lemma 4.2 it follows that $\varkappa_{a+1}$ is crystalline too. □

Proof of Theorem 7.2. Use Theorem 7.3 and Lemma 2.10. □

8. Classification of group schemes

The following consequences of Theorem 7.2 are analogous to [VZ1]. Let $\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{S}, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$ be the frame defined in section 7.

Definition 8.1. A Breuil window relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is a pair (Q, ϕ) where Q is a free $\mathfrak{S}$-module of finite rank and where $\phi : Q \to Q^{(\sigma)}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}$-linear homomorphism with cokernel annihilated by E.

Lemma 8.2. *Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ are equivalent to $\mathscr{B}$-windows in the sense of Definition 2.3.*

Proof. This is similar to [VZ1, Lemma 1]. For a window (P, Q, F, F_1) over $\mathscr{B}$ the module Q is free over $\mathfrak{S}$ because $I = E\mathfrak{S}$ is free. Hence $F_1^\sharp : Q^{(\sigma)} \to P$ is bijective, and we can define a Breuil window (Q, ϕ) where ϕ is the inclusion $Q \to P$ composed with the inverse of $F_1^\sharp$. Conversely, if (Q, ϕ) is a Breuil window, $\mathrm{Coker}(\phi)$ is a free R-module. Indeed, ϕ is injective because it becomes bijective over $\mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]$, so $\mathrm{Coker}(\phi)$ has projective dimension one over $\mathfrak{S}$, which implies that it is free over R by using depth. Thus one can define a window over $\mathscr{B}$ as follows: $P = Q^{(\sigma)}$, the inclusion $Q \to P$ is ϕ, $F_1 : Q \to Q^{(\sigma)}$ is the homomorphism $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$, and $F(x) = F_1(Ex)$. The two constructions are mutually inverse. □

By [Z2], p-divisible groups over R are equivalent to Dieudonné displays over R. Together with Theorem 7.2 and Lemma 8.2 this implies:

Corollary 8.3. *The category of p-divisible groups over R is equivalent to the category of Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.* □

Let us use the following abbreviation: An *admissible torsion module* is a finitely generated $\mathfrak{S}$-module annihilated by a power of p and of projective dimension at most one.

Definition 8.4. A Breuil module relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is a triple (M, φ, ψ) where M is an admissible torsion module together with $\mathfrak{S}$-linear homomorphisms $\varphi : M \to M^{(\sigma)}$ and $\psi : M^{(\sigma)} \to M$ such that $\varphi\psi = E$ and $\psi\varphi = E$.

When R has characteristic zero, each of the maps φ and ψ determines the other one; see Lemma 8.6 below.

Theorem 8.5. *The category of finite flat group schemes over R annihilated by a power of p is equivalent to the category of Breuil modules relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Corollary 8.3 by the arguments of [K] or [VZ1], which we recall briefly. A homomorphism of Breuil windows $(Q', \phi') \to (Q, \phi)$ is called an isogeny if it becomes bijective over $\mathfrak{S}[1/p]$. Then its cokernel is naturally a Breuil module; the required ψ is induced by the homomorphism $E\phi^{-1} : Q^{(\sigma)} \to Q$. Using that the equivalence between p-divisible groups and Breuil windows preserves isogenies and short exact sequences, Theorem 8.5 is a formal consequence of the following two facts.

(a) Each finite flat group scheme over R of p-power order is the kernel of an isogeny of p-divisible groups. See [BBM, Théorème 3.1.1].

(b) Each Breuil module relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is the cokernel of an isogeny of Breuil windows. This is analogous to [VZ1, Proposition 2].

Let us recall the argument for (b). If (M, φ, ψ) is a Breuil module, one can find free $\mathfrak{S}$-modules P and Q together with surjections $Q \to M$ and $P \to M^{(\sigma)}$ and homomorphisms $\tilde{\varphi} : Q \to P$ and $\tilde{\psi} : P \to Q$ which lift φ and ψ such that $\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\psi} = E$ and $\tilde{\psi}\tilde{\varphi} = E$. Next one chooses an isomorphism $\alpha : P \cong Q^{(\sigma)}$ compatible with the given projections of both sides to $M^{(\sigma)}$. Let $\phi = \alpha\tilde{\varphi}$. Then (M, φ, ψ) is the cokernel of the isogeny $(Q', \phi') \to (Q, \phi)$, where Q' is the kernel of $Q \to M$ and ϕ' is the restriction of ϕ. □

Lemma 8.6. *If R has characteristic zero, the category of Breuil modules relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ is equivalent to the category of pairs (M, φ) where M is an admissible torsion module and where $\varphi : M \to M^{(\sigma)}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}$-linear homomorphism with cokernel annihilated by E.*

Proof. Cf. [VZ1, Proposition 2]. For a non-zero admissible torsion module M the set of zero divisors on M is equal to $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathfrak{S}$ because every associated prime of M has height one and contains p. In particular, $M \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is injective. The hypothesis of the lemma means that $E \notin \mathfrak{p}$. For a given pair (M, φ) as in the lemma this implies that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\sigma)}$ is surjective, thus bijective because both sides have the same finite length. It follows that φ is injective, and (M, φ) is extended uniquely to a Breuil module by $\psi(x) = \varphi^{-1}(Ex)$. □

Duality. The dual of a Breuil window (Q, ϕ) is the Breuil window $(Q, \phi)^t = (Q^\vee, \psi^\vee)$ where $Q^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(Q, \mathfrak{S})$ and where $\psi : Q^{(\sigma)} \to Q$ is the unique homomorphism with $\psi\phi = E$. Here we identify $(Q^{(\sigma)})^\vee$ and $(Q^\vee)^{(\sigma)}$. For a p-divisible group G over R let $G^\vee$ be the Cartier dual of G and let $\mathbb{M}(G)$ be the Breuil window associated with G by the equivalence of Corollary 8.3.

Proposition 8.7. *There is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{M}(G^\vee) \cong \mathbb{M}(G)^t$.*

Proof. The equivalence between p-divisible groups over R and Dieudonné displays over R is compatible with duality by [L2, Theorem 3.4]. It is easy to see that the equivalence of Lemma 8.2 and the functor $\varkappa_*$ preserve duality as well. The proposition follows. □

The dual of a Breuil module $\mathbb{M} = (M, \varphi, \psi)$ is the Breuil module $\mathbb{M}^t = (M^\star, \psi^\star, \varphi^\star)$ where $M^\star = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{S}}(M, \mathfrak{S})$. Here we identify $(M^{(\sigma)})^\star$ and $(M^\star)^{(\sigma)}$ using that $(\ )^{(\sigma)}$ preserves projective resolutions as σ is flat. For a finite flat group scheme H over R of p-power order let $H^\vee$ be the Cartier dual of H and let $\mathbb{M}(H)$ be the Breuil module associated with H by the equivalence of Theorem 8.5.

Proposition 8.8. *There is a natural isomorphism* $\mathbb{M}(H^\vee) \cong \mathbb{M}(H)^t$.

Proof. Choose an isogeny of p-divisible groups $G_1 \to G_2$ with kernel H. Then $\mathbb{M}(H)$ is the cokernel of $\mathbb{M}(G_1) \to \mathbb{M}(G_2)$, which implies that $\mathbb{M}(H)^t$ is the cokernel of $\mathbb{M}(G_2)^t \to \mathbb{M}(G_1)^t$. On the other hand, $H^\vee$ is the kernel of $G_2^\vee \to G_1^\vee$, so $\mathbb{M}(H^\vee)$ is the cokernel of $\mathbb{M}(G_2^\vee) \to \mathbb{M}(G_1^\vee)$. Proposition 8.7 applied to G_1 and G_2 gives an isomorphism $\beta : \mathbb{M}(H^\vee) \cong \mathbb{M}(H)^t$. One easily checks that β is independent of the choice and functorial in H. □

9. Other lifts of Frobenius

One may ask how much freedom we have in the choice of σ for the frame $\mathscr{B}$. Let $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. To begin with, let $\sigma : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be an arbitrary ring endomorphism such that $\sigma(J) \subset J$ and $\sigma(a) \equiv a^p \bmod p\mathfrak{S}$ for $a \in \mathfrak{S}$. As in Section 7 we consider the frame

$$\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{S}, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

with $\sigma_1(Ey) = \sigma(y)$. Again this is a $\varkappa$-frame because the proof of Lemma 7.1 uses only that σ preserves J, so Proposition 6.3 gives a homomorphism of frames

$$\varkappa : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{W}_R.$$

By the assumptions on σ we have $\sigma(J) \subseteq J^p + pJ$, which implies that the endomorphism $\sigma : J/J^2 \to J/J^2$ is divisible by p.

Proposition 9.1. *The image of* $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to W(R)$ *lies in* $\mathbb{W}(R)$ *if and only if the endomorphism* σ/p *of* J/J^2 *is nilpotent modulo* p.

We have a non-additive map $\tau : J \to J$ given by $\tau(x) = (\sigma(x) - x^p)/p$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ be the maximal ideal. We write $gr_n(J) = \mathfrak{m}^n J/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J$.

Lemma 9.2. *For* $n \geq 0$ *the map* τ *preserves* $\mathfrak{m}^n J$ *and induces a* σ*-linear endomorphism of* k*-modules* $gr_n(\tau) : gr_n(J) \to gr_n(J)$. *We have* $gr_0(\tau) = \sigma/p$ *as an endomorphism of* $gr_0(J) = J/J^2 + pJ$. *There is a*

commutative diagram of the following type with $\pi i = \mathrm{id}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} gr_n(J) & \xrightarrow{gr_n(\tau)} & gr_n(J) \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i} \\ gr_0(J) & \xrightarrow{gr_0(\tau)} & gr_0(J) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $J' = p^{-1}\mathfrak{m}J$ as an $\mathfrak{S}$-submodule of $J \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then $J \subset J'$, and $gr_n(J)$ is a submodule of $gr_n(J') = \mathfrak{m}^n J'/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J'$. The composition $J \xrightarrow{\tau} J \subset J'$ can be written as $\tau = \sigma/p - \varphi/p$, where $\varphi(x) = x^p$. One checks that $\varphi/p : \mathfrak{m}^n J \to \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J'$ (which requires $p \geq 3$ when $n = 0$) and that $\sigma/p : \mathfrak{m}^n J \to \mathfrak{m}^n J'$. Hence σ/p and τ induce the same map $\mathfrak{m}^n J \to gr_n(J')$. This map is σ-linear and zero on $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J$ because this holds for σ/p, and its image lies in $gr_n(J)$ because this is true for τ.

We define $i : gr_0(J) \to gr_n(J)$ by $x \mapsto p^n x$. For $n \geq 1$ let K_n be the image of $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}J^2 \to gr_n(J)$. Then i maps $gr_0(J)$ bijectively onto $gr_n(J)/K_n$, so there is a unique homomorphism $\pi : gr_n(J) \to gr_0(J)$ with kernel K_n such that $\pi i = \mathrm{id}$. Since i commutes with $gr(\tau)$, in order that the diagram commutes it suffices that $gr_n(\tau)$ vanishes on K_n. We have $\sigma(J) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}J$, which implies that $(\sigma/p)(\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}J^2) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}J'$, and the assertion follows. □

Proof of Proposition 9.1. We recall that $\varkappa = \pi\delta$, where $\delta : \mathfrak{S} \to W(\mathfrak{S})$ is defined by $w_n\delta = \sigma^n$ for $n \geq 0$, and where $\pi : W(\mathfrak{S}) \to W(R)$ is the obvious projection. For $x \in J$ and $n \geq 1$ let

$$\tau_n(x) = (\sigma(x)^{p^{n-1}} - x^{p^n})/p^n,$$

thus $\tau_1 = \tau$. It is easy to see that

$$\tau_{n+1}(x) \in J \cdot \tau_n(x),$$

in particular we have $\tau_n : J \to J^n$. If $\delta(x) = (y_0, y_1, \ldots)$, the coefficients y_n are determined by $y_0 = x$ and $w_n(y) = \sigma w_{n-1}(y)$ for $n \geq 1$, which translates into the equations

$$y_n = \tau_n(y_0) + \tau_{n-1}(y_1) + \ldots + \tau_1(y_{n-1}).$$

Assume now that σ/p is nilpotent on J/J^2 modulo p. By Lemma 9.2 this implies that $gr_n(\tau)$ is nilpotent for every $n \geq 0$. We have to show that for $x \in J$ the element $\delta(x)$ lies is $\mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{S})$, which means that the above sequence (y_n) converges to zero. Assume that for some $N \geq 0$ we have $y_n \in \mathfrak{m}^N J$ for all but finitely many n. The last two displayed equations give that $y_n - \tau(y_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{m}^{N+1}J$ for all but finitely many n. As $gr_N(\tau)$ is nilpotent it follows that $y_n \in \mathfrak{m}^{N+1}J$ for all but finitely many n. Thus $\delta(x) \in \mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{S})$ and in particular $\varkappa(x) \in \mathbb{W}(R)$.

Conversely, if σ/p is not nilpotent on J/J^2 modulo p, then $gr_0(\tau)$ is not nilpotent by Lemma 9.2, so there is an $x \in J$ such that $\tau^n(x) \notin \mathfrak{m}J$ for all $n \geq 0$. For $\delta(x) = (y_0, y_1, \ldots)$ we have $y_n \equiv \tau^n x$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}J$. The

projection $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ induces an isomorphism $J/\mathfrak{m}J \cong \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^2$. It follows that $\varkappa(x)$ lies in $W(\mathfrak{m}_R)$ but not in $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_R)$, thus $\varkappa(x) \notin \mathbb{W}(R)$. □

Now we assume that σ/p is nilpotent on J/J^2 modulo p. Then we have a homomorphism of frames

$$\varkappa : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{D}_R.$$

As earlier let $\mathscr{B}_a = (\mathfrak{S}_a, I_a, R_a, \sigma_a, \sigma_{1a})$ with $\mathfrak{S}_a = \mathfrak{S}/J^a$ and with $R_a = R/\mathfrak{m}_R^a$. The proof of Lemma 7.1 shows that $\mathscr{B}_a$ is a $\varkappa$-frame. Since $\mathbb{W}(R_a)$ is the image of $\mathbb{W}(R)$ in $W(R_a)$ we get a homomorphism of frames compatible with $\varkappa$,

$$\varkappa_a : \mathscr{B}_a \to \mathscr{D}_{R_a}.$$

Theorem 9.3. *The homomorphisms $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa_a$ are crystalline.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorems 7.2 and 7.3.

First we repeat the construction of (7.1). The restriction of $\sigma_{1(a+1)}$ to $E(J^a/J^{a+1}) = p(J^a/J^{a+1})$ is given by $\sigma_1 = \sigma/p = \tau$, which need not be zero in general, but still σ_1 extends uniquely to J^a/J^{a+1} by the formula $\sigma_1 = \sigma/p$. In order that $\tilde{\varkappa}_{a+1}$ is a u-homomorphism we have to check that $\tilde{f}_1\varkappa_{a+1} = u\cdot\varkappa_{a+1}\tilde{\sigma}_{1(a+1)}$ on J^a/J^{a+1}. Here u acts on J^a/J^{a+1} as the identity. By the proof of Proposition 9.1, for $x \in J^a/J^{a+1}$ we have

$$\delta(x) = (x, \tau(x), \tau^2(x), \ldots).$$

Since $\tilde{\sigma}_{1(a+1)}(x) = \tau(x)$ the required relation follows.

To complete the proof we have to show that $\pi : \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1} \to \mathscr{B}_a$ is crystalline. Now σ/p is nilpotent modulo p on J^n/J^{n+1} for $n \geq 1$. Indeed, for $n = 1$ this is our assumption, and for $n \geq 2$ the endomorphism σ/p of J^n/J^{n+1} is divisible by p^{n-1} as $\sigma(J) \subseteq pJ + J^p$. In order to apply Theorem 3.2 we need another sequence of auxiliary frames: For $c \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathfrak{S}_{a+1,c} = \mathfrak{S}_{a+1}/p^cJ^a\mathfrak{S}_{a+1}$ and let $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1,c} = (\mathfrak{S}_{a+1,c}, I_{a+1,c}, R_a, \ldots)$ be the obvious quotient frame of $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1}$. Then $\mathscr{B}_a$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1,0}$, and $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1}$ is the projective limit of $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1,c}$ for $c \to \infty$. Theorem 3.2 shows that each projection $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1,c+1} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{a+1,c}$ is crystalline, which implies that π is crystalline by Lemma 2.10. □

If σ/p is nilpotent on J/J^2 modulo p, then Corollary 8.3, Theorem 8.5 and the Duality Propositions 8.7 and 8.8 follow as before.

10. Nilpotent windows

All results in this article have a nilpotent counterpart where only connected p-divisible groups and nilpotent windows are considered; then k need not be perfect and p need not be odd. The necessary modifications are standard, but for completeness we work out the details.

10.1. **Nilpotence condition.** Let $\mathscr{F} = (S, I, R, f, f_1)$ be a frame. For an $\mathscr{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}$ there is a unique homomorphism of S-modules

$$V^\sharp : P^{(\sigma)} \to P$$

with $V^\sharp(F_1(x)) = 1 \otimes x$ for $x \in Q$. In terms of a normal representation $\Psi : L \oplus T \to P$ of $\mathscr{P}$ we have $V^\sharp = (1 \oplus \theta)(\Psi^\sharp)^{-1}$. The composition

$$P \xrightarrow{V^\sharp} P^{(\sigma)} \xrightarrow{(V^\sharp)^{(\sigma)}} P^{(\sigma^2)} \to \ldots \to P^{(\sigma^n)}$$

is denoted $(V^\sharp)^n$ for simplicity. The nilpotence condition depends on the choice of an ideal $J \subset S$ such that $\sigma(J) + I + \theta S \subseteq J$, which we call an *ideal of definition* for $\mathscr{F}$.

Definition 10.1. Let $J \subset S$ an ideal of definition for $\mathscr{F}$. An $\mathscr{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}$ is called nilpotent (with respect to J) if $(V^\sharp)^n \equiv 0$ modulo J for sufficiently large n.

Remark 10.2. For an $\mathscr{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}$ we consider the composition

$$\lambda : L \subseteq L \oplus T \xrightarrow{(\Psi^\sharp)^{-1}} L^{(\sigma)} \oplus T^{(\sigma)} \to L^{(\sigma)}.$$

Then $\mathscr{P}$ is nilpotent if and only if λ is nilpotent modulo J.

10.2. **Nil-crystalline homomorphisms.** If $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ is a homomorphism of frames and $J \subset S$ and $J' \subset S'$ are ideals of definition with $\alpha(J) \subseteq J'$, the functor α_* preserves nilpotent windows. We call α nil-crystalline if it induces an equivalence between nilpotent $\mathscr{F}$-windows and nilpotent $\mathscr{F}'$-windows. The following variant of Theorem 3.2 formalises [Z1, Theorem 44].

Theorem 10.3. *Let $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ be a homomorphism of frames that induces an isomorphism $R \cong R'$ and a surjection $S \to S'$ with kernel $\mathfrak{a} \subset S$. We assume that there is a finite filtration $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_0 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_n = 0$ such that $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{i+1}$ and $\sigma_1(\mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$. We assume that finitely generated projective S'-modules lift to projective S-modules. If $J \subset S$ is an ideal of definition such that $J^n\mathfrak{a} = 0$ for large n, then α is nil-crystalline with respect to $J \subset S$ and $J' = J/\mathfrak{a} \subset S'$.*

Proof. The assumptions imply that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq I \subseteq J$, in particular J' is well-defined. An $\mathscr{F}$-window $\mathscr{P}$ is nilpotent if and only if $\alpha_*\mathscr{P}$ is nilpotent. Using this, the proof of Theorem 3.2 applies with the following modification in the final paragraph. We claim that the endomorphism U of $\mathscr{H}$ is nilpotent, which again implies that $1 - U$ is bijective. Since $\mathscr{P}$ is nilpotent, λ is nilpotent modulo J, so λ is nilpotent modulo J^n for each $n \geq 1$ as J is stable under σ. Since $J^n\mathfrak{a} = 0$ by assumption, the claim follows from the definition of U. □

10.3. **Nilpotent displays.** Let R be a ring which is complete and separated in the $\mathfrak{c}$-adic topology for an ideal $\mathfrak{c} \subset R$ containing p. We consider the Witt frame

$$\mathscr{W}_R = (W(R), I_R, R, f, f_1).$$

Here $I_R \subseteq \operatorname{Rad} R$ as required because $W(R) = \varprojlim W_n(R/\mathfrak{c}^n)$ and the successive kernels in this projective system are nilpotent. The inverse image of $\mathfrak{c}$ is a ideal of definition $J \subset W(R)$. Nilpotent windows over $\mathscr{W}_R$ with respect to J are displays over R which are nilpotent over $R/\mathfrak{c}$. By [Z1] and [L1] these are equivalent to p-divisible groups over R which are infinitesimal over $R/\mathfrak{c}$. (Here one uses that displays and p-divisible groups over R are equivalent to compatible systems of the same objects over $R/\mathfrak{c}^n$ for $n \geq 1$; cf. Lemma 2.10 above and [M1, Lemma 4.16].)

Assume that $R' = R/\mathfrak{b}$ for a closed ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ equipped with (not necessarily nilpotent) divided powers. One can define a factorisation

$$\mathscr{W}_R \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \mathscr{W}_{R/R'} = (W(R), \tilde{I}, R', f, \tilde{f}_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \mathscr{W}_{R'}$$

of the projection of frames $\mathscr{W}_R \to \mathscr{W}_{R'}$ as follows. Necessarily we define $\tilde{I} = I_R + W(\mathfrak{b})$. The divided Witt polynomials define an isomorphism

$$\log : W(\mathfrak{b}) \cong \mathfrak{b}^\infty,$$

and $\tilde{f}_1 : \tilde{I}_R \to W(R)$ extends f_1 such that $\tilde{f}_1[b_0, b_1, \ldots] = [b_1, b_2, \ldots]$ in logarithmic coordinates on $W(\mathfrak{b})$. The assumption $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ implies that J is an ideal of definition for $\mathscr{W}_{R/R'}$ as well.

We assume that the $\mathfrak{c}$-adic topology of R can be defined by a sequence of ideals $R \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \ldots$ such that each $\mathfrak{b} \cap I_n$ is stable under the divided powers of $\mathfrak{b}$. This is automatic when $\mathfrak{c}$ is nilpotent or when R is noetherian; cf. the proof of Proposition 5.2.

Proposition 10.4. *The homomorphism α_2 is nil-crystalline with respect to the ideal of definition $J \subset W(R)$ for both frames.*

This is essentially [Z1, Theorem 44].

Proof. By a limit argument the assertion is reduced to the case where $\mathfrak{c} \subset R$ is a nilpotent ideal; see Lemma 2.10. Then Theorem 10.3 applies: The required filtration of $\mathfrak{a} = W(\mathfrak{b})$ is $\mathfrak{a}_i = p^i\mathfrak{a}$. The condition $J^n\mathfrak{a} = 0$ for large n is satisfied because $J^n \subseteq I_R$ for some n and $I_R^{n+1} \subseteq p^n W(R)$ for all n, and $W(\mathfrak{b}) \cong \mathfrak{b}^\infty$ is annihilated by some power of p. □

10.4. **The main frame.** Let R be a complete regular local ring with arbitrary residue field k of characteristic p. Let C be a p-ring with residue field k. We choose a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{S} = C[[x_1, \ldots, x_r]] \to R$$

that lifts the identity of k such that $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ map to a regular system of parameters for R. There is a power series $E \in \mathfrak{S}$ with constant term p such that $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$. Let $\sigma : C \to C$ be a ring endomorphism which

induces the Frobenius on $\mathfrak{S}/p\mathfrak{S}$ and preserves the ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. We consider the frame

$$\mathscr{B} = (\mathfrak{S}, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$$

where $\sigma_1(Ey) = \sigma(y)$. Here $\theta = \sigma(E)$. The proof of Lemma 7.1 shows that $\mathscr{B}$ is again a $\varkappa$-frame, so we have a u-homomorphism of frames

$$\varkappa : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{W}_R.$$

Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{n} \subset W(R)$ be the maximal ideals.

Theorem 10.5. *The homomorphism $\varkappa$ is nil-crystalline with respect to the ideals of definition $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathscr{W}_R$.*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 9.3 applies with the following modification: The initial case $a = 0$ is not trivial because $C \ncong W(k)$ if k is not perfect, but one can apply [Z3, Theorem 1.6]. In the diagram (7.1), the homomorphisms π' and π are only nil-crystalline in general; whether π is crystalline depends on the choice of σ. □

10.5. **Connected group schemes.** One defines Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ and Breuil modules relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$ as before. A Breuil window (Q, ϕ) or a Breuil module (M, φ, ψ) is called nilpotent if ϕ or φ is nilpotent modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{S}$. The proof of Lemma 8.2 shows that nilpotent Breuil windows are equivalent to nilpotent $\mathscr{B}$-windows. Hence Theorem 10.5 implies:

Corollary 10.6. *Connected p-divisible groups over R are equivalent to nilpotent Breuil windows relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.* □

Similarly we have:

Theorem 10.7. *Connected finite flat group schemes over R of p-power order are equivalent to nilpotent Breuil modules relative to $\mathfrak{S} \to R$.*

This is proved like Theorem 8.5, using two additional remarks:

Lemma 10.8. *Every connected finite flat group scheme H over R is the kernel of an isogeny of connected p-divisible groups.*

Proof. We know that H is the kernel of an isogeny of p-divisible groups $G \to G'$. There is a functorial exact sequence of p-divisible groups $0 \to G_0 \to G \to G_1 \to 0$ where G_0 is connected and G_1 is etale. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(H, G_1)$ is zero, H is the kernel of $G_0 \to G_0'$. □

Lemma 10.9. *Every nilpotent Breuil module (M, φ, ψ) is the cokernel of an isogeny of nilpotent Breuil windows.*

Proof. We know that (M, φ, ψ) is the cokernel of an isogeny of Breuil windows $(Q, \phi) \to (Q', \phi')$. There is a functorial exact sequence of Breuil windows $0 \to Q_0 \to Q \to Q_1 \to 0$ where Q_0 is nilpotent and where Q_1 is etale in the sense that $\phi : Q_1 \to Q_1^{(\sigma)}$ is bijective. Indeed, by

[Z2, Lemma 10] it suffices to construct the sequence over k, and $Q_0 \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$ is the kernel of $(\phi_k)^n$ for large n, where $\phi_k : Q \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k \to Q^{(\sigma)} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$ is the special fibre of ϕ.

We claim that Q_1 and Q'_1 have the same rank. We identify C with $\mathfrak{S}/(x_1, \dots x_r)$. Since $Q \to Q'$ becomes bijective over $\mathfrak{S}[1/p]$, the map $Q \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} C \to Q' \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} C$ becomes bijective over $C[1/p]$. Hence the etale parts $(Q \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} C)_1$ and $(Q' \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} C)_1$ have the same rank. This proves the claim because $(Q \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} C)_1 = Q_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} C$ and similarly for Q'.

Let us consider $\bar{M} = Q'_1/Q_1$. Here ϕ' induces a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : \bar{M} \to \bar{M}^{(\sigma)}$, which is surjective as Q'_1 is etale. The natural surjection $\pi : M \to \bar{M}$ satisfies $\pi^{(\sigma)}\varphi = \bar{\varphi}\pi$. As φ_k is nilpotent it follows that $\bar{\varphi}_k$ is nilpotent, thus $\bar{M} = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma. Hence $Q_1 \to Q'_1$ is bijective because both sides are free of the same rank, and consequently $M = Q'_0/Q_0$ as desired. □

11. Generalised frames

We mention a generalisation of the notion of frames and windows which is not considered in the main text.

Definition 11.1. A generalised frame is a sextuple

$$\mathscr{F} = (S, I, R, \sigma, \sigma_1, \theta)$$

consisting of a ring S, an ideal I of S, the quotient ring $R = S/I$, a ring endomorphism $\sigma : S \to S$, a σ-linear homomorphism of S-modules $\sigma_1 : I \to S$, and an element $\theta \in S$, such that we have:

(i) $I + pS \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}(S)$,
(ii) $\sigma(a) \equiv a^p \bmod pS$ for $a \in S$,
(iii) $\sigma(a) = \theta\sigma_1(a)$ for $a \in I$.

Since $\sigma_1(I)$ need not generate S, the element θ need not be determined by the rest of the data (cf. Lemma 2.2). For a u-homomorphism of generalised frames $\alpha : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F}'$ we demand that $\alpha(\theta) = u\theta'$.

Definition 11.2. A window $\mathscr{P}$ over a generalised frame $\mathscr{F}$ is a quadruple $\mathscr{P} = (P, Q, F, F_1)$ where P is a finitely generated projective S-module, $Q \subseteq P$ is a submodule, $F : P \to P$ and $F_1 : Q \to P$ are σ-linear homomorphisms of S-modules, such that:

(1) There is a decomposition $P = L \oplus T$ with $Q = L \oplus IT$,
(2) $F_1(ax) = \sigma_1(a)F(x)$ for $a \in I$ and $x \in P$,
(3) $F(x) = \theta F_1(x)$ for $x \in Q$,
(4) $F_1(Q) + F(P)$ generates P as an S-module.

If $\mathscr{F}$ is a frame this is equivalent to Definition 2.3. The results of sections 2–4 hold for generalised frames as well. Details are left to the interested reader.

References

[BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, W. Messing: Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II. Lecture Notes in Math. **930**, Springer Verlag, 1982

[Bou] N. Bourbaki: Éléments de mathématique, *Algèbre commutative*, Chap. 8 & 9. Masson, Paris, 1983

[Br] C. Breuil: Groupes p-divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés. Ann. of Math. **152** (2000), 489–549

[dJ] A. J. de Jong: Finite locally free groups schemes in characteristic p and Dieudonné modules. Invent. math. **114**, 89–137 (1993)

[K] M. Kisin: Crystalline representations and F-crystals, *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, 459–496, Progr. Math., Vol. 253, Birkhäuser, 2006.

[L1] E. Lau: Displays and formal p-divisible groups. Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 617–628

[L2] E. Lau: A duality theorem for Dieudonné displays. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **42** (2009), 241–259

[L2] E. Lau: A note on Vasiu-Zink windows. arXiv:0811.4545

[M1] W. Messing: The crystals associated to Barsotti-Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes. Lecture Notes in Math. **264**, Springer Verlag, 1972

[M2] W. Messing: Travaux de Zink. Séminaire Bourbaki 2005/2006, exp. 964, Astérisque **311** (2007), 341–364

[VZ1] A. Vasiu, Th. Zink: Breuil's classification of p-divisible groups over regular local rings of arbitrary dimension. To appear in: Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Proceeding of Algebraic and Arithmetic Structures of Moduli Spaces, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, September 2007

[VZ2] A. Vasiu, Th. Zink: Purity results for finite flat group schemes over ramified bases, manuscript, 2009

[Z1] Th. Zink: The display of a formal p-divisible group. Astérisque **278** (2002), 127–248

[Z2] Th. Zink: A Dieudonné theory for p-divisible groups. Class field theory—its centenary and prospect, 139–160, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **30**, Math. Soc. Japan 2001

[Z3] Th. Zink: Windows for displays of p-divisible groups. Moduli of abelian varieties, 491–518, Progr. Math. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany

E-mail address: lau@math.uni-bielefeld.de